

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/037,392	01/03/2002	Kunihiko Tanaka	01837/LH	7392	
1933	7590 07/30/2004		EXAMINER		
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 767 THIRD AVENUE			SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A		
25TH FLOO	R		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023			3653	
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/037,392	TANAKA, KUNIHIKO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Jeffrey A. Shapiro	3653			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	oears on the cover sheet with the C	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ja	anuarv 2003.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ✓ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	ut(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kipp (US 5,890,136) in view of Wroblewski (US 4,677,308). Kipp discloses a carrying means (44), image display means (24) (see also col. 6, lines 56-59), and a control system that controls the article retrieval (see fig's 1-3), and an order station (24 and 108-118) (see fig's 1, 3 and 5).

Kipp does not expressly disclose, but Wroblewski discloses continuously updating a display system with information from a variety of sensors along a conveyor like transport system (see abstract and Claim 1 of Wroblewski).

Both Kipp and Wroblewski are considered to be analogous art because Kipp discloses an automatic ordering system with a conveyor and inventory control sensors, while Wroblewski discloses taking the information from such sensors and displaying it on a computer screen (display).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have displayed information from various sensors throughout the ordering system of Kipp on a computer display for a customer or an operator of the system.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,392

Art Unit: 3653

The suggestion/motivation would have been to "establish a history of the status of the bus, sensors and switches." See Wroblewski, abstract, last five lines.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kipp and Wroblewski in order to obtain the invention as described in Claims 1-3.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kipp (US 5,890,136) in view of Wroblewski (US 4,677,308) and further in view of Rivalto (US 5,482,139). Kipp and Wroblewski disclose the system as deacribed above. Kipp does not expressly disclose, but Rivalto discloses use of a touch screen (18) in an automated ordering system.

Both Kipp and Rivalto are analogous art because they both concern automated ordering systems.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art to have used a touch screen at the customer station (24) of Kipp.

The suggestion/motivation would have been to provide an interactive menu driven customer input environment. See Rivalto, col. 46-50.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kipp, Wroblewski and Rivalto in order to obtain the invention as described in Claim 3.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mueller '509 and Kipp '363 are cited as further examples of automated ordering systems.

Art Unit: 3653

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey A. Shapiro whose telephone number is (703)308-3423. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald P. Walsh can be reached on (703)306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey A. Shapiro

Examiner Art Unit 3653

July 25, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600